

MEETING MINUTES
Scenic Rim Community Consultative Committee

DATE / TIME

16 July 2020
6:00pm – 8:00pm

LOCATION

Boonah Golf Club

MINUTE TAKER

Amanda Quayle

ATTENDEES (SHOW ORGANISATION IF NOT ARTC)

Ms Kathy Baburin - Chair	▶ SRCCC
Ms Alison Duke-Gibb	▶ SRCCC
Mr Phillip Bell	▶ SRCCC
Ms Robyn Keenan	▶ SRCCC
Ms Angela Collyer	▶ SRCCC
Mr Robert Collett	▶ SRCCC
Ms Narrella Simpson	▶ SRCCC
Ms Jan McGregor	▶ SRCCC
Mr Mike Townsend	▶ SRCCC
Mr Simon Birrell	▶ SRCCC
Mr Bruce Trevena	▶ SRCCC
Ms Rosemaree Thomasson	▶ SRCCC
Mr Dennis Dwyer	▶ SRCCC
Apologies	▶ SRCCC
Mr Adrian Stephan	
Mr Long Vo	▶ ARTC Inland Rail
Ms Amanda Quayle	▶ ARTC Inland Rail
Mr Anthony Pansini	▶ ARTC Inland Rail
Ms Belinda Scott-Toms	▶ ARTC Inland Rail
Mr Ashley Williams	▶ ARTC Inland Rail

DISCUSSIONS

NO.	DISCUSSIONS
1	<p>Introduction and Acknowledgement of Country.</p> <p>KB requested that everyone adhere to COVID19 distancing measures. Hand sanitizer is available throughout the room.</p>
2	<p>Conflict of interest</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No conflicts raised in the meeting.
3	<p>Update of actions from last meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CCC members have endorsed previous actions from April 2020 meeting.

NO.	DISCUSSIONS
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carry over action that CCC members go on a site tour when COVID restrictions ease further. • Request that meetings are an extra 30 minutes in length going forward. • Next meeting to be held Thursday 15 October, 5:30pm to 8:00pm in Ipswich. • Another meeting may be held with Coordinator Generals office. • If the Draft EIS is released before October then another meeting with the CCC will take place. • BT advised that an advertisement in Fassifern Guardian only appeared the day before the meeting. AQ said Inland Rail advertise in four different newspapers and place two ads in each paper, 2 and 3 weeks prior to the meeting. Will discuss with Communications team to ensure longer lead times going forward. • ADG asked for CCC meetings to be added to School newsletters where possible. AQ to investigate. • KB introduced Duncan McInnes and said she extended invitations to Scenic Rim and Ipswich Councils to discuss the work they have been doing with Inland Rail. Ipswich Council has asked the CCC or community to contact their general number and email address with any questions they may have on the project. Details can be found on their website. • JM asked who at council they should contact, KB said the generic contact details, not a specific person. Ivory's Rock has requested a meeting with the new Mayor and has not yet heard from them. • AQ advised the new Mayor and Councillors have been in numerous briefing meetings trying to get up to speed. Inland Rail are also eager to meet with them and discuss the project.
4	<p>Scenic Rim Regional Council (SRRC) Deputy Mayor Duncan McInnes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduced Richard Hancock from Scenic Rim Regional Council (SRRC) who is working with Inland Rail on the project. • Scenic Rim Councillors have met with Inland Rail three times in the past year to discuss the projects. The meetings are productive and provide updates on the status of Inland Rail. • The councillors have signed a confidentiality agreement regarding commercial matters. • The project is great for Australia, big benefits to Scenic Rim region and the Bromelton area. • Councillors have been speaking with the community and acknowledge their concerns and how it impacts them. Councils concerns are; • The level crossing at Middle Road and Mount Flinders Road. • Restricted access is a concern if a train breaks down along the alignment. Where possible Councillors would prefer flyovers not level crossings. • Concerns around noise impacts to Peak Crossing community. • TMR acquired land to deliver better weed management. Would like Inland Rail to provide this feedback to TMR again. • DD is concerned about large parcels of land being acquired, amalgamated and not maintained by state government. For example, the eastern side of Dwyer's Road through to Washpool Road. • DM said he is unable to comment on the state rules but the last thing council would want is very small blocks cut up. The hope is the state work with SRRC on our planning rules. • LV said Inland Rail are working with Transport and Main Roads who are likely to be the constructing authority responsible for acquiring land. There is a disposals policy on the land, and we have passed on the request to not have smaller blocks in line with SRRC's preference. • DD said his concern isn't little lots, its big lots of land that are amalgamated. It would be a lot of acreage that needs to be maintained.

NO.	DISCUSSIONS
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ADG asked why the community aren't involved in the decision-making process of what occurs with the land. • DM said state government makes these decisions and should be bound by the same rules as any community members. Unless the state realign boundaries, which is possible for the state to do, and they can come over the top of the local planning act, which they have done in sensible ways around the place before. • AC is concerned about the small blocks of leftover land in back corners owned by farmers. Will those small blocks be forcibly amalgamated by the state after the alignment goes in? Do those blocks get amalgamated? • DM said their preference is not to have small blocks but larger blocks of land. He said it would be helpful if TMR came along and could explain it to the CCC. • AC said it would be awkward if a one-acre block was separated from a 300-acre block, what use is it to a farmer to own that land? • RK said she would like councillors to consider individuals along the alignment and the potential economic impacts or hardships they may experience. • JM said there is no level crossing at Mount Flinders Road, there is a level crossing at Washpool Road. Mount Flinders is a flyover which is a 6-7m high flyover which has an issue of noise transfer. • JM asked DM if Ivory's Rock should sit with SRRC before the draft EIS or when the draft EIS is released so they can share the issues they have with the draft EIS. DM said we have met on more than one occasion and happy to meet again.
5	<p>Questions on C2K project presented by Amanda Quayle</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C2K project will continue to adhere to processes, regardless of the senate enquiry into Inland Rail. • Fast tracking the Inland Rail program will not mean skipping any approvals processes. They will still take place, no short cuts. • Final design will take place after the reference design. We needed the reference design for the EIS and the final design is what we need to go to construction. • 102 projects have received funding totalling more than \$300,000. • Information on recycling and Sustainability has been sent to CCC members. <p>C2K Project update presented by Long Vo</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LV said that the project has addressed the Coordinator Generals comments last year and re-submitted in the draft EIS for adequacy review to the OCG. Awaiting notification for next steps. • The project team will then work through submissions received from the draft EIS process. • The Public Private Partnership which the C2K project sits within, will look to release the Request for Proposal (tender documentation) to three proponents to design and build the project later this year. • LV went through the project timeline and discussed the upcoming milestones. (Please see presentation timeline for detail.) • Project approval is subject to the processes that we need to go through, that date of late 2020 approval is indicative. • Once we had all the conditions for the project approval would we award the tender. Likely 2021 with a four-year construction period. • RT asked if the timeline was C2K specific or Inland Rail program? LV said it was C2K specific. • RT asked if any parts of the program have been approved and built yet? • LV said we would be happy to provide an update on the status of all the 13 projects at the next CCC meeting. The Inland Rail website all covers information on all Inland Rail projects. Please visit https://inlandrail.artc.com.au to read about the Victoria, New South Wales and Queensland projects. • AC asked which projects were upgrades and which were new? Are any under construction?

NO.	DISCUSSIONS
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LV said Parkes to Narromine is being built now, another is out to tender. There is a mix of projects which are being upgraded to cater for double stacked trains. Then other projects which is new rail line, with no existing train line, such as Calvert to Kagaru. • RK asked why passing loops on the interactive map are only 1800m when trains could be if 3600m by 2030/2040. Suggested the loops are shown on the interactive map to be lengthened now to future proof train movements. • RK said there are properties in K2ARB that span both sides of the current train line. If passing loops move and private crossings are introduced, it may be a worse outcome for landowners. What commitment is there by the builder to manage the design that is submitted as part of the EIS. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LV said they will need to build according to what is determined in the EIS. The builder will also look to innovate and optimise the design. Such as the passing loops, they will undertake operational modelling to confirm the locations of the loops. • RK said could the design of the line change and the passing loop change? • LV said it is a reference design which is used as a reference until a contractor optimises the design and finalises it. The contractor will need to comply with all EIS conditions. Otherwise the project would not comply. • RK has concerns that landowners living near crossings or loops will not be consulted. LV said he could not comment on K2ARB but it was essential that any changes were safe and adhering to conditions and approvals processes. • AP said OCG go through their EIS process, but if there was a significant change with the alignment or the design there would be a change notice and process to accommodate that. There may also be a supplementary EIS that may need to take place and more information provided. <p>Independent Flood Panel presented by Long Vo</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Commonwealth agreement and State government signed and intergovernmental agreement last year and committed to an independent flood panel to assess all Qld projects. C2K will provide all of our detail, all of our modelling for them to review. It is understood that C2K will be the first project to undergo review being the first EIS with the OCG in QLD. It is vital for us to go through this to be licensed to run trains in Qld. For more information see: https://www.inlandrail.gov.au/media/news/independent-panel-hydrology-experts-announced • SB asked if flood panel is the reason B2G is looking at being realigned. Will B2G be realigned? • LV advised that this is two separate reviews. One is the flood panel review and one is the B2G alignment review. • Bec Kuhn from Department of Infrastructure said there would be two separate processes happening at the same time. One is an independent flood panel review of all Queensland projects. Five members with hydrology experience will be on the panel and have been announced on the website. https://www.inlandrail.gov.au/ • A separate review of the alignment is taking place across the B2G project, called the forestry review. An independent consultant will review a proposal from landowners and a proposal prepared by ARTC. The consultant will assess data from both parties against the terms of reference and provide a like for like on which alignment option is the best suited based on time, cost etc. No other reviews are planned across the program. • SB asked if this information was public. BK confirmed it was, submissions can be made to the independent flood panel run through TMR for all Queensland projects. The forestry review is only for B2G project. • KB asked AQ to send links to the flood panel review and the forestry review to the committee. • RT asked for a hard copy of the flood panel information and the forestry review. • BK said this information is on the Commonwealth Government Inland Rail website. AQ to send. https://s3-ap-southeast-2.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-

NO.	DISCUSSIONS
	<p>australia/d0189b92bb1730321f1382b170d85d4be44c4e6b/original/1594099695/Inner_Darling_Downs_CCC_Chair_Summary_-_23_June_2020.pdf_531375e43223fb41ffb852ffe2ed04e?1594099695</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Media statement from March 2020 re: Forestry Review. • AP said terms of reference for the flood panel was also released for the public to make comment. <p>Field investigations presented Amanda Quayle</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have been taking place on public land, private property, road reserves and the Queensland Rail corridor. • Data collection on soil and rock types in and around the proposed Inland Rail alignment. • A light aircraft equipped with aerial laser measurement technology will capture ground surface survey data, flying at +600m above the ground, sometimes making multiple flyovers. • You may notice white wooden pegs used to mark out investigation sites • Vehicle and machinery movements, including truck mounted drilling rigs and excavators with support vehicles equipment left at investigation sites overnight • Consultants completing 'walkovers' on private and public land
6	<p>Communicating on the Draft EIS presented by Anthony Pansini and Amanda Quayle</p> <p>Office of the Coordinator General will advise;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locations for the public to view the draft EIS e.g. local libraries • Number of copies to be printed and available for community to view • Newspapers to advertise in to notify the public that draft EIS is on display • How to make submission • Review submissions and ask Inland Rail to provide responses <p>Inland Rail will;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hold community information sessions and speak with landowners and stakeholders about the content in the draft EIS. • RT expressed concern about impacts to Swamp Tea Tree irbyana and Koalas, asked what ARTC are doing about these? AP said the detail will be in the draft EIS. ARTC are aware of the state and federal significance the Swamp Tree irbyana has and it is being discussed with Department of Environment and Science (DES). Impacts will need to be offset, a like habitat or like specific is needed to offset the area. • SB asked if offsets have been identified? AP confirmed suitable sites have identified with the aim to reduce impacts over a few years. • SB asked if the draft EIS states benchmarks to meet the offsets? AP said yes and they are outlined in the offset strategy. There are delivery plans to secure offsets. • RK asked if the offsets would be located as close as possible to existing area? In many projects' offsets are moved very far from the project area. AP said yes, that is the plan. There is a requirement in the offset policy that offsets are required as close as possible to existing locations. An offset strategy will be developed and will be rolled out over a few years. AP advised the OCG own the process, ARTC own the project. • OCG will advertise and notify people the draft EIS is available for public consultation. They will book libraries, inform people how to make a submission. Properly made submissions will be sent to us to answer. • ARTC will hold information sessions so people can ask questions about the content in the draft EIS. • AQ said ARTC have prepare to notify people about the public notification period: • Letters to directly impacted landholders

NO.	DISCUSSIONS
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Letters to community within project area • Email to stakeholders • Inland Rail website update • Social media post • Online interactive map update • Phone number for low literacy or people with English as a second language • Notification through council’s website and social media • Information sessions <p>Community may contact Inland Rail:</p> <p>EIS free call number: 1800 732 761 Email: inlandrailqld@artc.com.au Postal address: PO Box 49 Gatton QLD 4343</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ARTC will send letters to all directly affected landowners and to residents within the project area, which will include submission forms, how to have your say and reply-paid envelopes so people can send forms direct to OCG. • Information sessions will be scheduled to during the notification period so people can discuss how to find information. • ADG said this may be stressful for some landowners and suggested ARTC contact mental health services and notify them of our sponsorships and donations program. • JM and ADG asked for the template of the planned draft EIS sessions. AQ to send.
7	<p>General Business</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sponsorships and donations round of applications will close Friday 31 July. • An additional two meetings may be held between now and 15 October. One with OCG to discuss the draft EIS process further. Another with ARTC to discuss the summary of findings from the draft EIS. Dates to be confirmed. • KB to send letter to OCG requesting a two-week extension on behalf of the CCC, making submissions run for eight weeks instead of six. • KB to send invitation to TMR to attend October meeting. • ARTC will notify CCC as soon as it has been given notice by the OCG to we can set the additional meetings. • CCC would like observers to attend the additional meetings. • AQ to distribute OCG draft submission document to CCC once received. • JM said Ivory’s Rock were waiting on Ipswich Council to notify them of a meeting date.
8	<p>Observer questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • KB asked observers if they had any questions for the ARTC team. • One observer enquired about a koala impact assessment and asked if DES are involved. AP confirmed they were, they may also make a submission. Commonwealth Department of Agriculture and Environment may also pass comment. • Another question was asked regarding local Aboriginal community involved in the project? • AP said yes there has been indigenous cultural heritage surveys and heritage management plan which is approved by Aboriginal groups. • Second observer asked if offsets for fauna would be located near their current habitats? What chain of responsibility is there for Inland Rail to maintain koala offsets allowed and wont be 80km away from where they live now? • AP said according to Commonwealth policy they must be as close to where they live now. It has to be the right habitat. The management of those are rigid in Queensland. We will have an agreed period with state and commonwealth government on how and how long those offsets are managed. There is a legal responsibility that we have to assess and manage this, perhaps with a conservation group.
9	<p>Conclusion and Confirmation of Actions</p>

NO.	DISCUSSIONS		
No.	CURRENT Actions	Action by	Due date
1	Enquire about longer lead times for advertising CCC meetings in local newspapers.	AQ	1 Sep
2	Ask schools along the alignment if we can place CCC meeting notices in their newsletters.	AQ	1 Sep
3	Organise a CCC tour of the C2K alignment when possible.	KB	TBC
4	Inland Rail to hold another meeting with CCC once draft EIS is ready to be released.	AQ	TBC
5	Inland Rail to provide feedback to TMR again about concerns of weed management throughout the Calvert to Kagaru project area.	LV	31 Jul
6	Inland Rail to provide status on 13 projects across the Program.	LV	7 Oct
7	Email link to CCC on the Independent Flood Panel. – Please see within minutes for link.	AQ	31 Jul
8	Email information on Forestry route regarding B2G project. Please see within minutes for link.	AQ	31 Jul
9	Speak to Helen Williams about reaching out to mental health services along the alignment and notify them of the sponsorships and donations program if they are looking for funding.	HW	7 Oct
10	Find location in Ipswich for 15 October CCC meeting.	AQ	TBC
11	KB to invite TMR to present at October CCC meeting to discuss land acquisition process.	KB	7 Aug
12	KB to send letter to OCG requesting two-week extension for draft EIS submissions.	KB	TBC
13	AQ to send the intended community information session locations for the draft EIS.	AQ	7 Aug

Next Meeting

Thursday 15 October 2020, 5:30pm – 8:00pm in Ipswich